

Sumário

Desigualdades & Pobreza.....	1
Welfare & Pol. Sociais	4
Federalismo & Subnacional.....	7
Opinião & Mídia	10
Comportamento, voto, eleições	13
Respostas governamentais	17

Desigualdades & Pobreza

ABEDI, V. et al. Racial, Economic, and Health Inequality and COVID-19 Infection in the United States. **Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities**, v. 8, n. 3, p. 732–742, 1 jun. 2021.

ALSAN, M.; CHANDRA, A.; SIMON, K. The great unequalizer: initial health effects of COVID-19 in the United States. **Journal of Economic Perspectives**, v. 35, n. 3, p. 25–46, 2021.

BARBOSA, R. et al. Consequências socioeconômicas da Covid-19 e os efeitos das políticas emergenciais sobre a renda das famílias. Em: LUCIANA, S.; NASCIMENTO, E. O. (Eds.). . **Governos e o enfrentamento da pandemia de COVID-19**. 1a. ed. Maceió: Edufal, 2021.

BARGAIN, O.; AMINJONOV, U. Poverty and COVID-19 in Africa and Latin America. **World Development**, v. 142, p. 105422, 1 jun. 2021.

BECCARIA, L.; BERTRANOU, F.; MAURIZIO, R. COVID-19 in Latin America: The effects of an unprecedented crisis on employment and income. **International Labour Review**, v. n/a, n. n/a, mar. 2022.

BÉLAND, D. et al. COVID-19, poverty reduction, and partisanship in Canada and the United States. **Policy and Society**, 2022.

BRADBURY, A. **Ability, Inequality and Post-Pandemic Schools: Rethinking Contemporary Myths of Meritocracy**. 1. ed. [s.l.] Bristol University Press, 2021.

CHEN, M. A. et al. COVID-19 and informal work: Evidence from 11 cities. **International Labour Review**, v. n/a, n. n/a, jun. 2021.

CLARE, B.; JULIA, L.; SMITH, K. E. **The Unequal Pandemic: COVID-19 and Health Inequalities**. Bristol, England: Bristol University Press; Policy Press, 2021.

CORREIA, R. F. et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence and social inequalities in different subgroups of healthcare workers in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. **The Lancet Regional Health-Americas**, v. 7, p. 100170, 2022.

COSTA, J. S.; BARBOSA, A. L. N. DE H.; HECKSHER, M. **Desigualdades no mercado de trabalho e pandemia da Covid-19**. [s.l.] Texto para Discussão, 2021.

Disponível em: <<https://www.econstor.eu/handle/10419/243037>>. Acesso em: 18 mar. 2022.

DE FRANÇA, N. C. et al. A decomposition analysis for socioeconomic inequalities in health status associated with the COVID-19 diagnosis and related symptoms during Brazil's first wave of infections. **EconomiA**, COVID-19 Economics. v. 22, n. 3, p. 251–264, 1 dez. 2021.

DECERF, B. et al. Lives and livelihoods: estimates of the global mortality and poverty effects of the Covid-19 pandemic. **World Development**, v. 146, p. 105561, 1 out. 2021.

EGGER, D. et al. Falling living standards during the COVID-19 crisis: quantitative evidence from nine developing countries. **Science Advances**, v. 7, n. 6, p. eabe0997, fev. 2021.

GARROTE SANCHEZ, D. et al. Who on Earth can work from home? **The World Bank Research Observer**, v. 36, n. 1, p. 67–100, 2021.

GOTTLIEB, C. et al. Working from home in developing countries. **European Economic Review**, v. 133, p. 103679, 1 abr. 2021.

HAUSMANN, R.; SCHEITTER, U. Horrible trade-offs in a pandemic: poverty, fiscal space, policy, and welfare. **World Development**, v. 153, p. 105819, 1 maio 2022.

HECKSHER, M. Mortalidade por COVID-19 e a queda do emprego no Brasil e no Mundo. **Nota Técnica do Instituto de Pesquisa Econômica Aplicada (IPEA)**, 2021.

HERSZENHUT, D. et al. The impact of transit monetary costs on transport inequality. **Journal of Transport Geography**, v. 99, p. 103309, 1 fev. 2022.

ISAACSON, J. E. et al. Emergency Care Sensitive Conditions in Brazil: A Geographic Information System Approach to Timely Hospital Access. **The Lancet Regional Health - Americas**, v. 4, p. 100063, 1 dez. 2021.

LI, S. L. et al. Higher risk of death from COVID-19 in low-income and non-White populations of São Paulo, Brazil. **BMJ Global Health**, v. 6, n. 4, p. e004959, abr. 2021.

LIMA, E. E. C. et al. Investigating regional excess mortality during 2020 COVID-19 pandemic in selected Latin American countries. **Genus - Journal of Population Sciences**, v. 77, n. 1, p. 30, 3 nov. 2021.

LUCCA-SILVEIRA, M. P.; BARBOSA, R. J. O futuro das transferências de renda no Brasil: dilemas empíricos e normativos para um programa pós-pandemia e pós-auxílio emergencial. **Sociologia & Antropologia**, v. 11, p. 67–92, 27 set. 2021.

LUSTIG, N. et al. The Impact of COVID-19 and Expanded Social Assistance on Inequality and Poverty in Argentina, Brazil, Colombia and Mexico. **Commitment to Equity (CEQ) Working Paper Series**, v. 92, jun. 2021.

MARINHO, M. F. et al. Racial disparity in excess mortality in Brazil during COVID-19 times. **European Journal of Public Health**, v. 32, n. 1, p. 24–26, 1 fev. 2022.

MARTINS-FILHO, P. R. et al. Socio-economic inequalities and COVID-19 incidence and mortality in Brazilian children: a nationwide register-based study. **Public Health**, v. 190, p. 4–6, jan. 2021.

- NASSIF PIRES, L. et al. Multi-dimensional inequality and Covid-19 in Brazil. **Investigación económica**, v. 80, n. 315, p. 33–58, mar. 2021.
- NEIDHÖFER, G.; LUSTIG, N.; TOMMASI, M. Intergenerational transmission of lockdown consequences: prognosis of the longer-run persistence of COVID-19 in Latin America. **Journal of Economic Inequality**, p. 1, jul. 2021.
- QUANTIN, C.; TUBERT-BITTER, P. COVID-19 and social inequalities: a complex and dynamic interaction. **The Lancet Public Health**, fev. 2022.
- RAMMELT, C. F. The impact of COVID-19 on the eradication of poverty: an incorrect diagnosis. **Third World Quarterly**, v. 42, n. 2, p. 441–447, 1 fev. 2021.
- RAZAFINDRAKOTO, M. et al. Municípios in the Time of Covid-19 in Brazil: Socioeconomic Vulnerabilities, Transmission Factors and Public Policies. **The European Journal of Development Research**, 11 nov. 2021.
- ROCHA, R. et al. Effect of socioeconomic inequalities and vulnerabilities on health-system preparedness and response to COVID-19 in Brazil: a comprehensive analysis. **The Lancet Global Health**, v. 9, n. 6, p. e782–e792, 2021.
- SCHMIDT, A. F. et al. Building Strategies and Resilience in the Face of a Pandemic in Urban China and Brazil: COVID-19, Access to Water, and Inequality. Em: MONTROYA, M. A. et al. (Eds.). . **COVID-19 and Cities**. The Urban Book Series. [s.l.] Springer, Cham., 2021. p. 249–265.
- SOARES, F. V.; ORAIR, R. O. Política fiscal e proteção social na resposta à Covid-19: da resposta emergencial à recuperação econômica. **Revista Tempo do Mundo**, n. 26, p. 67–102, out. 2021.
- SOARES, S.; BERG, J. The labour market fallout of COVID-19: Who endures, who doesn't and what are the implications for inequality. **International Labour Review**, v. 161, n. 1, p. 1–24, 2022.
- SOUZA, P. H. G. F. A pandemia de Covid-19 e a desigualdade racial de renda. **Boletim de Análise Político-Institucional**, n. 26, p. 37–44, 4 mar. 2021.
- SUMNER, A.; ORTIZ-JUAREZ, E.; HOY, C. Measuring global poverty before and during the pandemic: a political economy of overoptimism. **Third World Quarterly**, v. 43, n. 1, p. 1–17, 2 jan. 2022.
- TAVARES, F. F.; BETTI, G. The pandemic of poverty, vulnerability, and COVID-19: Evidence from a fuzzy multidimensional analysis of deprivations in Brazil. **World Development**, v. 139, p. Article 105307, 2021.
- TOKARSKI, C.; PINHEIRO, L. Trabalho Doméstico Remunerado e Covid-19: aprofundamento das vulnerabilidades em uma ocupação precarizada. **Boletim de Análise Político-Institucional**, n. 26, p. 55–64, 4 mar. 2021.
- WENHAM, C. et al. Gender and Race on the frontline: experiences of health workers in Brazil during the COVID-19 pandemic. **Social Politics**, set. 2021.
- WILDMAN, J. COVID-19 and income inequality in OECD countries. **The European Journal of Health Economics**, v. 22, n. 3, p. 455–462, 1 abr. 2021.

YÜCEL, S. G. et al. Impact of Network Centrality and Income on Slowing Infection Spread after Outbreaks. **ArXiv: 2202.03914v1 [physics.soc-ph]**, fev. 2022.

Welfare & Pol. Sociais

ABDOUL-AZIZE, H. T.; EL GAMIL, R. Social Protection as a Key Tool in Crisis Management: Learnt Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic. **Global Social Welfare**, v. 8, n. 1, p. 107–116, mar. 2021.

ACEMOGLU, D. et al. Optimal targeted lockdowns in a Multigroup SIR Model. **American Economic Review: Insights**, v. 3, n. 4, p. 487–502, dez. 2021.

BARRON, G. C. et al. Safeguarding people living in vulnerable conditions in the COVID-19 era through universal health coverage and social protection. **The Lancet Public Health**, v. 7, n. 1, p. e86–e92, jan. 2022.

BÉLAND, D. et al. Social policy in the face of a global pandemic: Policy responses to the COVID-19 crisis. **Social Policy & Administration**, v. 55, n. 2, p. 249–260, 2021a.

BÉLAND, D. et al. Social policy responses to COVID-19 in Canada and the United States: Explaining policy variations between two liberal welfare state regimes. **Social Policy & Administration**, v. 55, n. 2, p. 280–294, 2021b.

BIGONI, A. et al. Brazil's health system functionality amidst of the COVID-19 pandemic: An analysis of resilience. **The Lancet Regional Health-Americas**, v. 10, p. 100222, 2022.

BLOFIELD, M.; GIAMBRUNO, C.; PRIBBLE, J. Breadth and sufficiency of cash transfer responses in ten latin american countries during the first 12 months of the Covid-19 pandemic. **Commitment to Equity (CEQ) Working Paper Series - Tulane University, Department of Economics**, v. 114, set. 2021.

BLOFIELD, M.; LUSTIG, N.; TRASBERG, M. Social protection during the pandemic: Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, and Mexico. **Commitment to Equity (CEQ) Working Paper Series - Tulane University, Department of Economics**, v. 104, jan. 2021.

BRASIL, F. G.; CAPELLA, A. C. N.; FERREIRA, L. T. Eventos focalizadores e a pandemia da COVID-19: a renda básica emergencial na agenda governamental brasileira. **Revista de Administração Pública**, v. 55, p. 644–661, 9 jul. 2021.

BRUM, M.; DE ROSA, M. Too little but not too late: nowcasting poverty and cash transfers' incidence during COVID-19's crisis. **World Development**, v. 140, p. 105227, 1 abr. 2021.

CANTILLON, B.; SEELEIB-KAISER, M.; VAN DER VEEN, R. The COVID-19 crisis and policy responses by continental European welfare states. **Social Policy & Administration**, v. 55, n. 2, p. 326–338, 2021.

CAPANO, G. et al. Long-term policy impacts of the coronavirus: normalization, adaptation, and acceleration in the post-Covid state. **Policy and Society**, v. 41, n. 1, p. 1–12, 2022.

CHOHAN, U. W. The return of Keynesianism? Exploring path dependency and ideational change in post-covid fiscal policy. **Policy and Society**, v. 41, n. 1, p. 68–82, jan. 2021.

CONTRERAS, G. W. et al. Drawing parallels among past public health crises and Covid-19. **Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness**, p. 1–7, 18 jun. 2021.

EZEQUIEL, G. E. et al. The Covid-19 pandemic: a call to action for health systems in Latin America to strengthen quality of care. **International Journal for Quality in Health Care**, v. 33, n. 1, p. mzaa062, jan. 2021.

FERNANDEZ, M. et al. Condições de trabalho e percepções de profissionais de enfermagem que atuam no enfrentamento à covid-19 no Brasil. **Saúde e Sociedade**, v. 30, 8 out. 2021.

FERNANDEZ, M.; LOTTA, G.; CORRÊA, M. Desafios para a Atenção Primária à Saúde no Brasil: uma análise do trabalho das agentes comunitárias de saúde durante a pandemia de Covid-19. **Trabalho, Educação e Saúde**, v. 19, 21 abr. 2021.

FIGUEIREDO, A.; GUICHENEY, H.; LAZZARI, E. Vulnerabilidades sociais, modelos de provisão de saúde e mortalidade decorrente da pandemia de Covid-19 no Brasil e nos Estados Unidos (no prelo). Em: FONTAINHA, F.; MILANI, C. R. S. (Eds.). . **Covid-19 e agendas de pesquisa nas ciências sociais**. IESP-UERJ, Rio de Janeiro: EDUERJ, 2022.

FONSECA, E. M. DA; SHADLEN, K. C.; BASTOS, F. I. The politics of COVID-19 vaccination in middle-income countries: Lessons from Brazil. **Social Science & Medicine**, v. 281, p. 114093, jul. 2021.

GOYAL, N.; HOWLETT, M. “Measuring the mix” of policy responses to Covid-19: comparative policy analysis using topic modelling. **Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice**, v. 23, n. 2, p. 250–261, 4 mar. 2021.

GREER, S. L. et al. Social policy as an integral component of pandemic response: Learning from COVID-19 in Brazil, Germany, India and the United States. **Global Public Health**, v. 16, n. 8–9, p. 1209–1222, 2 set. 2021.

HACKER, J. S. et al. The American Political Economy Confronts COVID-19. Em: HERTEL-FERNANDEZ, A. et al. (Eds.). . **The American Political Economy: Politics, Markets, and Power**. Cambridge Studies in Comparative Politics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2021. p. 407–418.

HALDANE, V. et al. Health systems resilience in managing the COVID-19 pandemic: lessons from 28 countries. **Nature Medicine**, v. 27, n. 6, p. 964–980, 2021.

KNAUL, F. M. et al. Punt Politics as Failure of Health System Stewardship: Evidence from the Covid-19 Pandemic Response in Brazil and Mexico. **The Lancet Regional Health - Americas**, v. 4, dez. 2021.

LAVINAS, L. Latin America at the crossroads yet again: what income policies in the post-pandemic era? **Canadian Journal of Development Studies / Revue canadienne d'études du développement**, v. 42, n. 1–2, p. 79–89, 3 abr. 2021.

LEISERING, L. Social protection responses by states and international organisations to the Covid-19 crisis in the global south: stopgap or new departure? **Global Social Policy**, v. 21, n. 3, p. 396–420, 2021.

LOTTA, G. S. et al. O impacto da pandemia de Covid-19 na atuação da burocracia de nível de rua no Brasil. **Revista Brasileira de Ciência Política**, 19 abr. 2021.

LOTTA, G. S.; COELHO, V. S.; BRAGE, E. How Covid-19 has affected frontline workers in Brazil: a comparative analysis of nurses and community health workers. **Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice**, v. 23, n. 1, p. 63–73, 2021.

LUSTIG, N.; TOMMASI, M. El Covid-19 y la protección social de los grupos pobres y vulnerables en América Latina: un marco conceptual. **Revista CEPAL-Edición Especial**, v. 132, dez. 2020.

LUSTIG, N.; TRASBERG, M. How Brazil and Mexico diverged on social protection in the pandemic. **Current History**, v. 120, n. 823, p. 57–63, 1 fev. 2021.

MARINS, M. T. et al. Auxílio Emergencial em tempos de pandemia. **Sociedade e Estado**, v. 36, p. 669–692, 13 set. 2021.

MASSUDA, A.; TASCIA, R.; MALIK, A. M. Uso de leitos hospitalares privados por sistemas públicos de saúde na resposta à Covid-19. **Saúde em Debate**, v. 44, p. 248–260, 23 ago. 2021.

MOK, K. H.; KU, Y.-W.; YUDA, T. K. Managing the COVID-19 pandemic crisis and changing welfare regimes. **Journal of Asian Public Policy**, v. 14:1, p. 1–12, 2021.

MOREIRA, A.; HICK, R. Covid-19, the Great Recession and social policy: Is this time different? **Social Policy & Administration**, v. 55, n. 2, p. 261–279, 2021.

MREJEN, M. et al. The quality of alternative models of primary health care and morbidity and mortality in Brazil: a national longitudinal analysis. **The Lancet Regional Health-Americas**, v. 4, p. 100034, 2021.

RATUVA, S. et al. (EDS.). **Covid-19 and social protection: a study in human resilience and social solidarity**. Singapore: Springer, 2021.

RICARD, J.; MEDEIROS, J. Using misinformation as a political weapon: COVID-19 and Bolsonaro in Brazil. **The Harvard Kennedy School Misinformation Review**, 2020.

SANTOS, T. B. S. et al. Contingência hospitalar no enfrentamento da COVID-19 no Brasil: problemas e alternativas governamentais. **Ciência & Saúde Coletiva**, v. 26, p. 1407–1418, abr. 2021.

SIMONI JR, S. Políticas de transferência de renda e de assistência no Brasil: descontinuidades recentes e os desafios colocados pela Covid-19. **Brazilian Journal of Latin American Studies**, v. 20, n. 40, p. 210–236, 2021.

TOUCHTON, M. et al. A partisan pandemic: state government public health policies to combat Covid-19 in Brazil. **BMJ Global Health**, v. 6, n. 6, p. e005223, jun. 2021.

Federalismo & Subnacional

ABRUCIO, F. L.; GRIN, E.; SEGATTO, C. I. Brazilian Federalism in the Pandemic. Em: PETERS, B. G.; GRIN, E.; ABRUCIO, F. L. (Eds.). **American Federal Systems and COVID-19**. [s.l.] Emerald Publishing Limited, 2021. p. 63–88.

AFONSO, J. R. R.; CARVALHO, C. M. S. (Des)coordenação governamental na pandemia. **Revista Conjuntura Econômica**, v. 75, n. 08, p. 18–21, 2021.

BÉLAND, D. et al. Covid-19, federalism, and health care financing in Canada, the United States, and Mexico. **Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice**, v. 23, n. 2, p. 143–156, 2021.

BENNOUNA, C. et al. Pandemic policymaking in presidential federations: explaining subnational responses to covid-19 in Brazil, Mexico, and the United States. **Publius: The Journal of Federalism**, v. 51, n. 4, p. 570–600, 2021.

BIASE, P. DE; DOUGHERTY, S. Federalism and public health decentralisation in the time of COVID-19. **OECD Working Papers on Fiscal Federalism**, OECD Publishing, Paris. v. 33, 1 fev. 2021.

BIRKLAND, T. A. et al. Governing in a polarized era: federalism and the response of U.S. state and federal governments to the COVID-19 pandemic. **Publius: The Journal of Federalism**, v. 51, n. 4, p. 650–672, 1 out. 2021.

CHATTOPADHYAY, R. et al. (EDS.). **Federalism and the response to COVID-19: a comparative analysis**. Abingdon, Oxon; New York, NY: Taylor & Francis, 2022.

CHATTOPADHYAY, R.; KNÜPLING, F.; CHEBENOVA, D. Impact of COVID-19 on the comparative practice of federalism: some preliminary observations. **Cadernos Gestão Pública e Cidadania**, v. 27, n. 87, p. 1–16, 1 fev. 2022.

CHOUTAGUNTA, A.; MANISH, G. P.; RAJAGOPALAN, S. Battling COVID-19 with dysfunctional federalism: lessons from India. **Southern Economic Journal**, v. 87, n. 4, p. 1267–1299, 2021.

DE PAIVA, C. C.; TORREZAN, R. G. A.; DE PAIVA, S. C. F. O federalismo cooperativo em obstrução: fissuras intergovernamentais da pandemia. **Cadernos Gestão Pública e Cidadania**, v. 27, n. 87, p. 1–18, 2022.

DUNN, C.; LATERZO, I. State-level citizen response to COVID-19 containment measures in Brazil and Mexico. **Journal of Politics in Latin America**, v. 13, n. 3, p. 328–357, 2021.

FERNANDEZ, M.; MACHADO, C. **COVID-19's political challenges in Latin America**. [s.l.] Springer International Publishing, 2021.

FERNANDEZ, M. V.; PINTO, H. A. Estratégia intergovernamental de atuação dos estados brasileiros: o Consórcio Nordeste e as políticas de saúde no enfrentamento à Covid-19. **Saúde em Redes**, v. 6, n. 2 Suplem, 27 jul. 2020.

GRIN, E. J. et al. A pandemia e o futuro do federalismo brasileiro. **Cadernos Gestão Pública e Cidadania**, v. 27, n. 87, 1 fev. 2022.

HEGELE, Y.; SCHNABEL, J. Federalism and the management of the COVID-19 crisis: centralisation, decentralisation and (non-)coordination. **West European Politics**, v. 44, n. 5–6, p. 1052–1076, 19 set. 2021.

IVERSON, T.; BARBIER, E. National and sub-national social distancing responses to COVID-19. **Economies**, v. 9, n. 2, p. 69, 2021.

KINCAID, J.; LECKRONE, J. W. American federalism and Covid-19: Party Trumps policy. Em: STEYTLER, N. (Ed.). . **Comparative Federalism and Covid-19 - combating the pandemic**. Abingdon, Oxon and New York, NY: Routledge, 2022. p. 181–199.

KNAUL, F. M. et al. Punt Politics as Failure of Health System Stewardship: Evidence from the Covid-19 Pandemic Response in Brazil and Mexico. **The Lancet Regional Health - Americas**, v. 4, dez. 2021.

KUHLMANN, S.; FRANZKE, J. Multi-level responses to COVID-19: crisis coordination in Germany from an intergovernmental perspective. **Local Government Studies**, v. 0, n. 0, p. 1–23, mar. 2021.

LECOURS, A. et al. Explaining intergovernmental conflict in the COVID-19 crisis: the United States, Canada, and Australia. **Publius: The Journal of Federalism**, v. 51, n. 4, p. 513–536, 2021.

LECOURS, A.; BÉLAND, D.; WALLNER, J. Reduced acrimony, quiet management: Intergovernmental relations during the COVID-19 pandemic in Canada. Em: **Federalism and the Response to COVID-19**. [s.l.] Routledge India, 2021. p. 66–75.

LEONI, F. O papel do Supremo Tribunal Federal na intermediação dos conflitos federativos no contexto da Covid-19. **Cadernos Gestão Pública e Cidadania**, v. 27, n. 87, p. 1–17, 1 fev. 2022.

LIU, Z. et al. Multi-level governance, policy coordination and subnational responses to COVID-19: comparing China and the US. **Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice**, v. 23, n. 2, p. 204–218, 2021.

LIU, Z.; GEVA-MAY, I. Comparative public policy analysis of COVID-19 as a naturally occurring experiment. **Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice**, v. 23, n. 2, p. 131–142, mar. 2021.

MEDEIROS, A.; BERTHOLINI, F.; PEREIRA, C. Identity versus fear of death: political polarization under the COVID-19 pandemic in Brazil. **COVID-19's political challenges in Latin America**, Latin American Societies. p. 133–145, 2021.

NÉRIS, E. H. C. DA S. P.; BEDRITICHUK, R. R. Brazilian federalism: facing the COVID-19 pandemic. Em: CHATTOPADHYAY, R. et al. (Eds.). . **Federalism and the Response to COVID-19: A Comparative Analysis**. Routledge Series on the Humanities and the Social Sciences in a Post-COVID-19 World. Abingdon, Oxon; New York, NY: Taylor & Francis, 2022. p. 59–65.

OLIVEIRA, J. A. P. DE et al. The role of intergovernmental relations in response to a wicked problem: an analysis of the Covid-19 crisis in the BRICS countries. **Revista de Administração Pública**, v. 55, p. 243–260, 5 mar. 2021.

- RATTO, M. C. et al. The effectiveness of government measures during the first wave of the outbreak. **Social Science Quarterly**, v. 102, n. 5, p. 2088–2105, 2021.
- ROCCO, P. et al. Who counts where? Covid-19 surveillance in federal countries. **Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law**, v. 46, n. 6, p. 959–987, 1 dez. 2021.
- RODRIGUES, G. M. et al. Brazil and the fight against Covid-19: strengthening state and municipal powers. Em: STEYTLER, N. (Ed.). . **Comparative Federalism and Covid-19 - combating the pandemic**. Abingdon, Oxon and New York, NY: Routledge, 2022. p. 238–257.
- RUIZ, J. M. Duas experiências mexicanas de relações intergovernamentais: Influenza A/H1N1 na competição e Covid-19 na contração. **Cadernos Gestão Pública e Cidadania**, v. 27, n. 87, p. 1–20, 1 fev. 2022.
- SANTOS, N. DE A. E S. F. DOS. Multi-level governance tackling the Covid-19 pandemic in China. **Revista de Administração Pública**, v. 55, p. 95–110, 5 mar. 2021.
- SCHNABEL, J.; HEGELE, Y. Explaining intergovernmental coordination during the Covid-19 pandemic: responses in Australia, Canada, Germany, and Switzerland. **Publius: The Journal of Federalism**, v. 51, n. 4, p. 537–569, 2021.
- SEGATTO, C. I. et al. Inequalities and the COVID-19 pandemic in Brazil: Analyzing uncoordinated responses in social assistance and education. **Policy and Society**, 2022.
- SIMONI JR., S.; LAZZARI, E.; FIMIANI, H. Federalismo fiscal na pandemia da Covid-19: do federalismo cooperativo ao bolsonarista. **Cadernos Gestão Pública e Cidadania**, v. 27, n. 87, p. 1–20, 1 fev. 2022.
- STEYTLER, N. (ED.). **Comparative Federalism and Covid-19 - combating the pandemic**. Abingdon, Oxon and New York, NY: Routledge, 2022.
- TOUCHTON, M. et al. A partisan pandemic: state government public health policies to combat Covid-19 in Brazil. **BMJ Global Health**, v. 6, n. 6, p. e005223, jun. 2021.
- WADDAN, A. et al. Explaining intergovernmental conflict in the COVID-19 crisis: the US, Canada, and Australia. **Publius: The Journal of Federalism**, v. 51, n. 4, p. 513–536, 2021.
- WARNER, M. E.; ZHANG, X. Social safety nets and COVID-19 stay home orders across US states: a comparative policy analysis. **Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice**, v. 23, n. 2, p. 176–190, 2021.
- XIMENES, R. A. DE A. et al. Covid-19 no nordeste do Brasil: entre o lockdown e o relaxamento das medidas de distanciamento social. **Ciência & Saúde Coletiva**, v. 26, p. 1441–1456, abr. 2021.

Opinião & Mídia

ALMADAN, A. et al. Will you be vaccinated? A methodology for annotating and analyzing Twitter data to measure the stance towards COVID-19 vaccination. Em: ARAI, K. (Ed.). . **Advances in Information and Communication. FICC 2022**. Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems. [s.l.] Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2022. p. 311–329.

AL-ZAMAN, MD. S. Prevalence and source analysis of COVID-19 misinformation in 138 countries. **IFLA Journal**, v. 48, n. 1, p. 189–204, 1 mar. 2022.

ANDRADE, F. M. R. et al. Twitter in Brazil: Discourses on China in times of coronavirus. **Social Sciences & Humanities Open**, v. 3, n. 1, p. 100118, 1 jan. 2021.

APUKE, O. D.; OMAR, B. Fake news and COVID-19: modelling the predictors of fake news sharing among social media users. **Telematics and Informatics**, v. 56, p. 101475, 2021.

AUSTIN, E. W.; BORAH, P.; DOMGAARD, S. COVID-19 disinformation and political engagement among communities of color: The role of media literacy. **The Harvard Kennedy School Misinformation Review**, v. 1, n. 7, 2021.

BABOUKARDOS, D.; GAIA, S.; SHE, C. Social performance and social media activity in times of pandemic: evidence from COVID-19-related Twitter activity. **Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society**, v. 21, n. 6, p. 1271–1289, 1 jan. 2021.

BADRINATHAN, S. Educative interventions to combat misinformation: evidence from a field experiment in India. **American Political Science Review**, v. 115, n. 4, p. 1325–1341, 2021.

BIANCOVILLI, P.; MAKSZIN, L.; JURBERG, C. Misinformation on social networks during the novel coronavirus pandemic: a quali-quantitative case study of Brazil. **BMC Public Health**, v. 21, n. 1, p. 1200, 23 jun. 2021.

CALVO, E.; VENTURA, T. Will I get COVID-19? Partisanship, social media frames, and perceptions of health risk in Brazil – corrigendum. **Latin American Politics and Society**, v. 63, n. 2, p. 179–180, maio 2021.

CERON, W. et al. COVID-19 fake news diffusion across Latin America. **Social Network Analysis and Mining**, v. 11, n. 1, p. 47, 19 maio 2021.

DE BARCELOS, T. DO N. et al. Análise de fake news veiculadas durante a pandemia de COVID-19 no Brasil. **Revista Panamericana de Salud Pública**, v. 45, p. e65, 9 jun. 2021.

DRUCKMAN, J. N. et al. Affective polarization, local contexts and public opinion in America. **Nature Human Behaviour**, v. 5, n. 1, p. 28–38, jan. 2021.

ENDERS, A. M. et al. The relationship between social media use and beliefs in conspiracy theories and misinformation. **Political Behavior**, 7 jul. 2021.

GALHARDI, C. P. et al. Fato ou Fake? Uma análise da desinformação frente à pandemia da Covid-19 no Brasil. **Ciência & Saúde Coletiva**, v. 25, n. suppl 2, p. 4201–4210, out. 2020.

- GARCIA, K.; BERTON, L. Topic detection and sentiment analysis in Twitter content related to COVID-19 from Brazil and the USA. **Applied Soft Computing**, v. 101, p. 107057, 1 mar. 2021.
- GRAMACHO, W. et al. Political preferences, knowledge, and misinformation about COVID-19: the case of Brazil. **Frontiers in Political Science**, v. 3, 2021.
- GRAMACHO, W. G.; TURGEON, M. When politics collides with public health: COVID-19 vaccine country of origin and vaccination acceptance in Brazil. **Vaccine**, v. 39, n. 19, p. 2608–2612, 6 maio 2021.
- GUIMARÃES, V. H. A. et al. Knowledge about COVID-19 in Brazil: cross-sectional web-based study. **JMIR Public Health and Surveillance**, v. 7, n. 1, p. e24756, 21 jan. 2021.
- HAMMES, L. S. et al. Is the press properly presenting the epidemiological data on COVID-19? An analysis of newspapers from 25 countries. **Journal of Public Health Policy**, v. 42, n. 3, p. 359–372, 1 set. 2021.
- HONRYO, T.; YANO, M. Idiosyncratic information and vague communication. **American Political Science Review**, v. 115, n. 1, p. 165–178, 2021.
- HU, T. et al. Revealing public opinion towards COVID-19 vaccines with Twitter data in the United States: spatiotemporal perspective. **Journal of Medical Internet Research**, v. 23, n. 9, p. e30854, 10 set. 2021.
- KLEIN, E. J. C. Fake news about Covid 19: communication strategies on WhatsApp in Brazil. Em: BERUBE, D. M. (Ed.). **Pandemic Communication and Resilience**. Risk, Systems and Decisions. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2021. p. 379–393.
- KREPS, S. E.; KRINER, D. L. The COVID-19 infodemic and the efficacy of interventions intended to reduce misinformation. **Public Opinion Quarterly**, v. 86, n. 1, p. 162–175, spring 2022.
- LORENZ-SPREEN, P. et al. How behavioural sciences can promote truth, autonomy and democratic discourse online. **Nature Human Behaviour**, v. 4, n. 11, p. 1102–1109, nov. 2020.
- MAHDIKHANI, M. Predicting the popularity of tweets by analyzing public opinion and emotions in different stages of Covid-19 pandemic. **International Journal of Information Management Data Insights**, v. 2, n. 1, p. 100053, 1 abr. 2022.
- MAHL, D.; SCHÄFER, M. S.; ZENG, J. Conspiracy theories in online environments: an interdisciplinary literature review and agenda for future research. **New Media & Society**, p. 14614448221075760, 8 fev. 2022.
- MELCHIOR, C.; OLIVEIRA, M. Health-related fake news on social media platforms: a systematic literature review. **New Media & Society**, p. 14614448211038762, 18 ago. 2021.
- MOTTA, M.; STECULA, D.; FARHART, C. How right-leaning media coverage of COVID-19 facilitated the spread of misinformation in the early stages of the pandemic in the US. **Canadian Journal of Political Science/Revue canadienne de science politique**, v. 53, n. 2, p. 335–342, 2020.
- PAN, J.; SHAO, Z.; XU, Y. How government-controlled media shifts policy attitudes through framing. **Political Science Research and Methods**, p. 1–16, 21 jul. 2021.

PEREIRA, F. B.; NUNES, F. Media choice and the polarization of public opinion about COVID-19 in Brazil. **Revista Latinoamericana de Opinión Pública (RLOP)**, v. 10, n. 2, p. 39–57, 2021.

RECUERO, R.; SOARES, F.; ZAGO, G. Polarização, hiperpartidarismo e câmaras de eco: como circula a desinformação sobre Covid-19 no Twitter. **Revista Contracampo**, v. 40, 30 abr. 2021.

ROCHA, Y. M. et al. The impact of fake news on social media and its influence on health during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review. **Journal of Public Health**, 9 out. 2021.

SHOMRON, B.; DAVID, Y. Protecting the community: how digital media promotes safer behavior during the Covid-19 pandemic in authoritarian communities—a case study of the ultra-Orthodox community in Israel. **New Media & Society**, p. 14614448211063620, 4 fev. 2022.

SILVA, C. A. F.; COSTA, W. S. Reflexivity and media: the COVID-19 and local development in Brazil. **International Journal of Development Research**, v. 10, p. 39434, 11 set. 2020.

SOARES, F.; RECUERO, R. How the mainstream media help to spread disinformation about Covid-19. **M/C Journal**, v. 24, n. 1, 15 mar. 2021.

TERNULLO, S. “I’m not sure what to believe”: media distrust and opinion formation during the COVID-19 pandemic. **American Political Science Review**, p. 1–14, 2022.

THEOCHARIS, Y. et al. Does the platform matter? Social media and COVID-19 conspiracy theory beliefs in 17 countries. **New Media & Society**, v. 00, n. 0, p. 1–26, 2021.

VAN AELST, P.; BLUMLER, J. G. **Political communication in the time of coronavirus**. 1. ed. New York & London: Routledge, 2021.

WAETERLOOS, C.; WALRAVE, M.; PONNET, K. The role of multi-platform news consumption in explaining civic participation during the COVID-19 pandemic: a communication mediation approach. **New Media & Society**, p. 14614448211058700, nov. 2021.

YOUSEFINAGHANI, S. et al. An analysis of COVID-19 vaccine sentiments and opinions on Twitter. **International Journal of Infectious Diseases**, v. 108, p. 256–262, 1 jul. 2021.

Comportamento, voto, eleições

ANDREWS, T. M.; DELTON, A. W.; KLINE, R. Is a Rational Politics of Disaster Possible? Making Useful Decisions for Others in an Experimental Disaster Game. **Political Behavior**, 19 mar. 2021.

ARMALY, M. T.; ENDERS, A. M. 'Why me?' The role of perceived victimhood in american politics. **Political Behavior**, jan. 2021.

BAR-SIMAN-TOV, I. Covid-19 meets politics: the novel coronavirus as a novel challenge for legislatures. **The Theory and Practice of Legislation**, v. 8, n. 1–2, p. 11–48, maio 2020.

BATISTA, C. C.; SANTOS, F. G. M. Origens e implicações do funcionamento congressual em tempos de pandemia: notas sobre o Sistema de Deliberação Remota (SDR) e o papel das novas tecnologias. **E-Legis - Revista Eletrônica do Programa de Pós-Graduação da Câmara dos Deputados**, p. 57–69, mar. 2021.

BECHER, M. et al. Ideology and compliance with health guidelines during the COVID-19 pandemic: a comparative perspective. **Social Science Quarterly**, v. 102, n. 5, p. 2106–2123, 2021.

BISBEE, J.; HONIG, D. Flight to safety: COVID-induced changes in the intensity of status quo preference and voting behavior. **American Political Science Review**, v. 116, n. 1, p. 70–86, fev. 2022.

BOL, D. et al. The effect of COVID-19 lockdowns on political support: some good news for democracy? **European Journal of Political Research**, v. 60, n. 2, p. 497–505, 2021.

BOLLEYER, N.; SALÁT, O. Parliaments in times of crisis: COVID-19, populism and executive dominance. **West European Politics**, v. 44, n. 5–6, p. 1103–1128, 19 set. 2021.

BONFIM, R. W. L.; SANDES-FREITAS, V. E. V. DE; RUBIATTI, B. DE C. Emendas parlamentares no contexto da pandemia de COVID-19 no Brasil. **E-Legis - Revista Eletrônica do Programa de Pós-Graduação da Câmara dos Deputados**, v. v. 14, Edição especial, p. 70–84, mar. 2021.

BUSTAMANTE, T.; MEYER, E. P. N. Legislative resistance to illiberalism in a system of coalitional presidentialism: will it work in Brazil? **The Theory and Practice of Legislation**, v. 9, n. 3, p. 342–362, 2 set. 2021.

BYERS, J. S.; SHAY, L. P. We have it totally under control? Exploring the effects of ideology and knowing someone diagnosed with COVID-19 on evaluations of President Trump's leadership on the pandemic. **American Politics Research**, v. 50, n. 1, p. 83–96, 2022.

CLARKE, H.; STEWART, M. C.; HO, K. Did Covid-19 kill Trump politically? The pandemic and voting in the 2020 presidential election. **Social Science Quarterly**, v. 102, n. 5, p. 2194–2209, 2021.

COLL, J. Waiting to vote safely: how Covid-19 safety measures shaped in-person voter wait times during the 2020 election. **Social Science Quarterly**, v. n/a, n. n/a, p. 1–19, set. 2022.

CONSTANTINO, S. M.; COOPERMAN, A. D.; MOREIRA, T. M. Q. Voting in a global pandemic: assessing dueling influences of Covid-19 on turnout. **Social Science Quarterly**, v. 102, n. 5, p. 2210–2235, 2021.

COOPERMAN, A. (Un) Natural disasters: electoral cycles in disaster relief. **Comparative Political Studies**, jan. 2021.

COTTI, C. et al. The relationship between in-person voting and COVID-19: evidence from the Wisconsin primary. **Contemporary Economic Policy**, v. 39, n. 4, p. 760–777, 2021.

ESAIASSON, P. et al. How the coronavirus crisis affects citizen trust in institutions and in unknown others: evidence from ‘the Swedish experiment’. **European Journal of Political Research**, v. 60, n. 3, p. 748–760, 2021.

EVERETT, J. A. C. et al. Moral dilemmas and trust in leaders during a global health crisis. **Nature Human Behaviour**, v. 5, n. 8, p. 1074–1088, ago. 2021.

FERES JÚNIOR, J. Congresso remoto, pandemia e medidas em tempos de crise. Em: SANTOS, G. M. (Ed.). . **Congresso remoto: a experiência legislativa brasileira em tempos de pandemia**. [s.l.] EDUERJ, 2021. p. 115–128.

FOREMAN, S. D.; GODWIN, M. L.; WILSON, W. C. (EDS.). **The Roads to Congress 2020: Campaigning in the Era of Trump and COVID-19**. [s.l.] Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, 2022.

FREDÉN, A.; SIKSTRÖM, S. Voters’ view of leaders during the Covid-19 crisis: quantitative analysis of keyword descriptions provides strength and direction of evaluations. **Social science quarterly**, v. 102, n. 5, p. 2170–2183, 2021.

FREIRA, L. et al. The interplay between partisanship, forecasted COVID-19 deaths, and support for preventive policies. **Humanities and Social Sciences Communications**, v. 8, n. 1, p. 1–10, 3 ago. 2021.

GATTO, M. A. C.; THOME, D. Resilient aspirants: women’s candidacies and election in times of COVID-19. **Politics & Gender**, v. 16, n. 4, p. 1001–1008, dez. 2020.

GOLLWITZER, A. et al. Partisan differences in physical distancing are linked to health outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic. **Nature Human Behaviour**, v. 4, n. 11, p. 1186–1197, nov. 2020.

GOWD, K. K.; VEERABABU, D.; REDDY, V. R. COVID-19 and the legislative response in India: the need for a comprehensive health care law. **Journal of Public Affairs**, v. 21, n. 4, p. e2669, 2021.

GOZGOR, G. Global evidence on the determinants of public trust in governments during the COVID-19. **Applied Research in Quality of Life**, 5 fev. 2021.

GREEN, J. et al. Elusive consensus: polarization in elite communication on the COVID-19 pandemic. **Science - Advances**, v. 6, n. 28, p. eabc2717, jul. 2020.

GROSSMAN, G. et al. Political partisanship influences behavioral responses to governors’ recommendations for COVID-19 prevention in the United States. **Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences**, v. 117, n. 39, p. 24144–24153, 2020.

GUARNIERI, F.; FIGUEIREDO, A. Impacto da Covid-19 no comportamento do eleitor fluminense? Em: BORBA, F.; FIGUEIREDO, A. (Eds.). . **Política local no estado do Rio de Janeiro: disputa partidária e comportamento político nas eleições municipais de 2020**. [s.l.] EDUERJ, 2022.

HALE, H. E. A “terrific symbol”: physical personalization of pandemic relief enhances presidential support. **PS: Political Science & Politics**, p. 1–6, 20 jan. 2022.

HALL, A. B.; YODER, J.; KARANDIKAR, N. Economic distress and voting: evidence from the subprime mortgage crisis. **Political Science Research and Methods**, v. 9, n. 2, p. 327–344, 2021.

IMHOFF, R. et al. Conspiracy mentality and political orientation across 26 countries. **Nature Human Behaviour**, v. 6, n. 3, p. 392–403, mar. 2022.

INÁCIO, M. et al. ¿Tiempos de prueba o poniendo a prueba a los presidentes? COVID-19 desafiando liderazgos en América Latina. **IBEROAMERICANA. América Latina - España - Portugal**, v. 21, n. 76, p. 203–229, 2021.

JACOBSON, G. C. The presidential and congressional elections of 2020: a national referendum on the Trump presidency. **Political Science Quarterly (Wiley-Blackwell)**, v. 136, n. 1, p. 11–45, 2021.

JUNQUEIRA, M. DE O. Nem localistas nem setorialistas, as assembleias estaduais são “classe-médistas”: ganhos e perdas das leis estaduais durante a crise da COVID-19. **E-Legis - Revista Eletrônica do Programa de Pós-Graduação da Câmara dos Deputados**, v. v. 14, n. Edição especial, p. 235–249, mar. 2021.

KAKISINA, P. A.; INDHIARTI, T. R.; AL FAJRI, M. S. Discursive strategies of manipulation in COVID-19 political discourse: the case of Donald Trump and Jair Bolsonaro. **SAGE Open**, v. 12, n. 1, p. 21582440221079884, 2022.

KAUSHAL, N. et al. American attitudes toward COVID-19: more trumpism than partisanship. **American Politics Research**, v. 50, n. 1, p. 67–82, 2022.

KIM, T. et al. Attention to the COVID-19 pandemic on Twitter: partisan differences among U.S. state legislators. **Legislative Studies Quarterly**, v. n/a, n. n/a, dez. 2021.

KRITZINGER, S. et al. ‘Rally round the flag’: the COVID-19 crisis and trust in the national government. **West European Politics**, v. 44, n. 5–6, p. 1205–1231, 2021.

LEON, F. L. L. DE; MALDE, B.; MCQUILLIN, B. The effects of emergency government cash transfers on beliefs and behaviours during the covid pandemic: evidence from Brazil (Working Paper). **SSRN’s Research Paper Series**, nov. 2021.

MAGAR, E.; PALANZA, V.; SIN, G. Presidents on the fast track: fighting floor amendments with restrictive rules. **The Journal of Politics**, v. 83, n. 2, p. 633–646, abr. 2021.

MELÉNDEZ, C. et al. Chile 2020: pandemia y plebiscito constitucional. **Revista de Ciencia Política (Santiago)**, v. 41, n. 2, p. 263–290, ago. 2021.

MELLON, J.; BAILEY, J.; PROSSER, C. How do coronavirus attitudes fit into britain’s ideological landscape? **Parliamentary Affairs**, v. 74, n. 3, p. 597–616, 1 jul. 2021.

- MENCARELLI, A. Parliaments facing the virtual challenge: a conceptual approach for new models of representation. **Parliamentary Affairs**, p. gsab052, out. 2021.
- MORALES QUIROGA, M. Chile's perfect storm: social upheaval, COVID-19 and the constitutional referendum. **Contemporary Social Science**, v. 16, n. 5, p. 556–572, 2021.
- OLIVEIRA, B. T.; COSTA, J. V. S. DE M.; ALBALA, A. Legislar em tempos de pandemia: como a COVID-19 tem impactado a produção dos senadores brasileiros? **E-Legis - Revista Eletrônica do Programa de Pós-Graduação da Câmara dos Deputados**, v. 14, n. Especial, p. 85–101, mar. 2021.
- PICCHIO, M.; SANTOLINI, R. The COVID-19 pandemic's effects on voter turnout. **European Journal of Political Economy**, p. 102161, dez. 2021.
- PIGNATARO, A. Sources of government approval during the COVID-19 pandemic: threat or electoral predispositions? **Journal of Politics in Latin America**, v. 13, n. 3, p. 400–418, 1 dez. 2021.
- PORTENY, T. et al. Associations among political voting preference, high-risk health status, and preventative behaviors for COVID-19. **BMC Public Health**, v. 22, n. 1, p. 225, 4 fev. 2022.
- RAFKIN, C.; SHREEKUMAR, A.; VAUTREY, P.-L. When guidance changes: government stances and public beliefs. **Journal of Public Economics**, v. 196, p. 104319, 1 abr. 2021.
- RENNÓ, L.; AVRITZER, L.; CARVALHO, P. D. DE. Enraizando o populismo de direita sob a covid-19: negacionismo, mobilidade social e aprovação do governo no Brasil. **Revista Brasileira de Ciência Política**, v. 36, 29 out. 2021.
- ROBERTS, D. C.; UTYCH, S. M. Polarized social distancing: residents of republican-majority counties spend more time away from home during the COVID-19 crisis. **Social Science Quarterly**, v. 102, n. 6, p. 2516–2527, 2021.
- RODRIGUEZ, C. G. et al. Morbid polarization: exposure to COVID-19 and partisan disagreement about pandemic response. **Political Psychology**, v. 0, n. 0, p. 1–21, 2022.
- SANDES-FREITAS, V. E. V. DE et al. Combate à pandemia de covid-19 e sucesso eleitoral nas capitais brasileiras em 2020. **Revista Brasileira de Ciência Política**, n. 36, p. e246974, 2021.
- SANTOS, F. G. **Congresso remoto: a experiência legislativa brasileira em tempos de pandemia**. Rio de Janeiro, RJ: EDUERJ, 2021.
- SANTOS, F. G.; BATISTA, C. Primeiros passos. Em: SANTOS, F. G. (Ed.). . **Congresso remoto: a experiência legislativa brasileira em tempos de pandemia**. Rio de Janeiro, RJ: EDUERJ, 2021. p. 21–38.
- SANTOS, F. G.; GERSHON, D. Os regimentos remotos. Em: SANTOS, F. G. (Ed.). . **Congresso remoto: a experiência legislativa brasileira em tempos de pandemia**. Rio de Janeiro, RJ: EDUERJ, 2021. p. 39–52.
- SHELLER, D. S. Pandemic primary: the interactive effects of COVID-19 prevalence and age on voter turnout. **Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties**, v. 31, n. sup1, p. 180–190, maio 2021.

SILVA, M. B. M. DA; GATTO, M. A. C. Stigmatized campaign practices and the gendered dynamics of electoral viability. **Journal of Politics in Latin America**, v. 13, n. 3, p. 376–399, dez. 2021.

SIMONI JR., S.; GUICHENEY, H.; OLIVEIRA, J. L. S. O Congresso e a renda emergencial. **E-Legis**, v. 14, n. Especial, p. 164–181, mar. 2021.

SOMMER, U.; RAPPEL-KROYZER, O. Pandemic politics in the United States: COVID-19 as a new type of political emergency. **Political Psychology**, v. 0, n. 0, nov. 2021.

TUMELERO, A. Who does Bolsonaro listen to under emergency conditions? Building a framework for analyzing informal presidential advisory networks during the Covid-19 pandemic in Brazil. **Revista Chilena de Derecho y Ciencia Política**, v. 12, n. 1, p. 219–253, ago. 2021.

VRIES, C. E. D. et al. Crisis signaling: how Italy's coronavirus lockdown affected incumbent support in other european countries. **Political Science Research and Methods**, v. 9, n. 3, p. 451–467, jul. 2021.

WEINBERG, J. Can political trust help to explain elite policy support and public behaviour in times of crisis? Evidence from the United Kingdom at the height of the 2020 coronavirus pandemic. **Political Studies**, p. 0032321720980900, dez. 2020.

WOLAVER, A.; DOCES, J. Whistling through the COVID-19 pandemic: optimism bias and political beliefs in the United States. **American Politics Research**, v. 0, n. 0, p. 1532673X211055043, 2022.

Respostas governamentais

ABRAS, A.; FAVA, A. C. P. E; KUWAHARA, M. Y. Women heads of state and Covid-19 policy responses. **Feminist Economics**, v. 27, n. 1–2, p. 380–400, 3 abr. 2021.

ALMEIDA, L. DE et al. **Quantifying political influence on COVID-19 fatality in Brazil (Working paper)** MedRxiv, , 14 fev. 2022. Disponível em: <<https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.02.09.22270714v1>>. Acesso em: 23 mar. 2022

AZERRAT, J. M.; RATTO, M. C.; FANTOZZI, A. ¿Gobernar es cuidar? Los estilos de gestión de la pandemia en América del Sur: los casos de Argentina, Brasil y Uruguay. **Trabajo y sociedad**, v. 21, n. 36, p. 146–173, 2021.

BACCINI, L.; BRODEUR, A. Explaining governors' response to the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States. **American Politics Research**, v. 49, n. 2, p. 215–220, 2021.

BARBERIA, L. G. et al. The effect of state-level social distancing policy stringency on mobility in the states of Brazil. **Revista de Administração Pública**, v. 55, p. 27–49, jan. 2021.

BEL, G.; GASULLA, Ó.; MAZAIRA-FONT, F. A. The effect of health and economic costs on governments' policy responses to COVID-19 crisis under incomplete information. **Public Administration Review**, v. 81, n. 6, p. 1131–1146, 2021.

BRAUNER, J. M. et al. Inferring the effectiveness of government interventions against COVID-19. **Science**, v. 371, n. 6531, p. eabd9338, 2021.

CHEIBUB, J. A.; HONG, J. Y. J.; PRZEWORSKI, A. **Rights and deaths: government reactions to the pandemic** Rochester, NY Social Science Research Network, , jul. 2020. Disponível em: <<https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=3645410>>. Acesso em: 23 mar. 2022

CYR, J. et al. Governing a pandemic: assessing the role of collaboration on latin american responses to the COVID-19 crisis. **Journal of Politics in Latin America**, v. 13, n. 3, p. 290–327, 2021.

DUVAL RODRIGUES NETO, D. Did they even try? Brazilian government policy measures in the first 12 weeks of the pandemic. **Latin American Policy**, v. 12, n. 2, p. 250–275, 2021.

ELIZABETH J. KING; DUDINA, V. I. Covid-19 in the russian federation - government control during the epidemic. Em: GREER, S. L. et al. (Eds.). . **Coronavirus politics: The comparative politics and policy of COVID-19**. [s.l.] University of Michigan Press, 2021. p. 436–455.

FERREIRA, L. S. et al. **Estimating the impact of implementation and timing of COVID-19 vaccination programme in Brazil: a counterfactual analysis (Working paper)** medRxiv, , 6 mar. 2022. Disponível em: <<https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.12.24.21268384v3>>. Acesso em: 23 mar. 2022

GALLIC, E.; LUBRANO, M.; MICHEL, P. Optimal lockdowns for COVID-19 pandemics: analyzing the efficiency of sanitary policies in Europe. **Journal of Public Economic Theory**, p. 1–24, nov. 2021.

GARIKIPATI, S.; KAMBHAMPATI, U. Leading the fight against the pandemic: does gender really matter? **Feminist Economics**, v. 27, n. 1–2, p. 401–418, 3 abr. 2021.

GUPTA, S. et al. Tracking public and private responses to the COVID-19 epidemic: evidence from state and local government actions. **American Journal of Health Economics**, v. 7, n. 4, p. 361–404, 2021.

HAUG, N. et al. Ranking the effectiveness of worldwide COVID-19 government interventions. **Nature Human Behaviour**, v. 4, n. 12, p. 1303–1312, dez. 2020.

JAMISON, J. C. et al. Comparing the impact on COVID-19 mortality of self-imposed behavior change and of government regulations across 13 countries. **Health Services Research**, v. 56, n. 5, p. 874–884, 2021.

JARMAN, H. State responses to the Covid-19 - governance, surveillance, coercion, and social policy. Em: GREER, S. L. et al. (Eds.). . **Coronavirus politics: The comparative politics and policy of COVID-19**. [s.l.] University of Michigan Press, 2021. p. 51–64.

JORGE, D. C. P. et al. Assessing the nationwide impact of COVID-19 mitigation policies on the transmission rate of SARS-CoV-2 in Brazil. **Epidemics**, v. 35, p. 100465, 1 jun. 2021.

KUHLMANN, S. et al. Opportunity management of the COVID-19 pandemic: testing the crisis from a global perspective. **International Review of Administrative Sciences**, v. 87, n. 3, p. 497–517, 1 set. 2021.

- LAISHRAM, C.; KUMAR, P. Democracies or authoritarians? Regime differences in the efficacy of handling Covid-19 in 158 countries. **Indian Journal of Public Administration**, v. 67, n. 3, p. 470–483, 2021.
- LUPIEN, P. et al. Early COVID-19 policy responses in Latin America: a comparative analysis of social protection and health policy. **Canadian Journal of Latin American and Caribbean Studies / Revue canadienne des études latino-américaines et caraïbes**, v. 46, n. 2, p. 297–317, 4 maio 2021.
- MENDEZ-BRITO, A.; EL Bcheraoui, C.; POZO-MARTIN, F. Systematic review of empirical studies comparing the effectiveness of non-pharmaceutical interventions against COVID-19. **Journal of Infection**, v. 83, n. 3, p. 281–293, 1 set. 2021.
- RHODES, M. ‘Failing forward’: a critique in light of covid-19. **Journal of European Public Policy**, v. 28, n. 10, p. 1537–1554, 3 out. 2021.
- RICHARDS, R. L. et al. **Comparing waves of COVID-19 in the US: scale of response changes over time (Working paper)**medRxiv, , 2 mar. 2022. Disponível em: <<https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.03.01.22271713v1>>. Acesso em: 23 mar. 2022
- ROSSEL, C. et al. Uruguay 2020: el despliegue de la agenda de centro derecha en contexto de pandemia. **Revista de ciencia política (Santiago)**, v. 41, n. 2, p. 401–424, 2021.
- SERIKBAYEVA, B.; ABDULLA, K.; OSKENBAYEV, Y. State capacity in responding to COVID-19. **International Journal of Public Administration**, v. 44, n. 11–12, p. 920–930, 10 set. 2021.
- SINGER, P. M. et al. Anatomy of a failure - Covid-19 in the United States. Em: GREER, S. L. et al. (Eds.). . **Coronavirus politics: The comparative politics and policy of COVID-19**. [s.l.] University of Michigan Press, 2021. p. 478–493.
- STEAD, M. et al. **A “step too far” or “perfect sense”? A qualitative study of british adults’ views on mandating COVID-19 vaccination and vaccine passports (Working paper)**medRxiv, , 8 fev. 2022. Disponível em: <<https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.02.07.22270458v1>>. Acesso em: 23 mar. 2022
- VELASCO GUACHALLA, V. X. et al. Crisis-Doble: Bolivia 2020. **Revista de ciencia política (Santiago)**, v. 41, n. 2, p. 211–237, 2021.